Application No. Applicant(s) 10/647,447 HASHIMOTO, AKIHIRO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Daniell L. Negrón 2627 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Daniell L. Negrón. (2) Diallo Crenshaw (Reg. No. 52,778). Date of Interview: 25 July 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: Riches et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0035695. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that he would consider the arguments submitted in the response filed on July 5, 2006 and respond to the arguments in the next Office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required